Structural Determinants for High-Affinity Binding in a Nedd4 WW3* Domain-Comm PY Motif Complex
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Summary

Interactions between the WW domains of Drosophila Nedd4 (dNedd4) and Commisurseless (Comm) PY motifs promote axon crossing at the CNS midline and muscle synaptogenesis. Here we report the solution structure of the dNedd4 WW3* domain complexed to the second PY motif (D27TGLPSYDEALH(237)) of Comm. Unexpectedly, there are interactions between WW3* and ligand residues both N- and C-terminal to the PY motif. Residues Y232–L236 form a helical turn, following the PPII helical PY motif. Mutagenesis and binding studies confirm the importance of these extensive contacts, not simultaneously observed in other WW domain complexes, and identify a variable loop in WW3* responsible for its high-affinity interaction. These studies expand our general understanding of the molecular determinants involved in WW domain-ligand recognition. In addition, they provide insights into the specific regulation of dNedd4-mediated ubiquitination of Comm and subsequent internalization of Comm or the Comm/Roundabout complex, critical for CNS and muscle development.

Introduction

The WW domains of neuronal precursor cell expressed developmentally downregulated 4 (Nedd4) (Kumar et al., 1992), a ubiquitin ligase, are small protein-protein interaction modules containing two highly conserved tryptophans and an invariant proline (Bork and Sudol, 1994). WW domains bind proline-containing regions of varying sequences in their target molecules, and have been previously classified based on these recognition sequences (Macias et al., 2002). Group I WW domains bind the PY motif (L/PPxX) (Chen and Sudol, 1995; Kasanov et al., 2001), group II domains bind the PxP/P sequence (Bedford et al., 1997), group III domains interact with regions rich in Pro and Arg (Bedford et al., 2000) or containing Pro, Met, and Gly (Bedford et al., 1998), and group IV domains bind phosphoSer- or phosphoThr-Pro (pS/T-P) sequences (Lu et al., 1999; Verdecia et al., 2000). In some cases, a specific WW domain can bind more than one type of ligand, albeit with varying affinities (Kato et al., 2004; Wiesner et al., 2002). Thus, classification of WW domains by their target ligands does not provide a complete picture of the molecular basis of recognition for these domains. The few previously solved structures of WW domain complexes (Huang et al., 2000; Kanelis et al., 2001; Pires et al., 2001, 2005; Toepert et al., 2003; Verdecia et al., 2000) indicate that core binding residues in the ligands, regardless of type, adopt a PPII helical conformation and contact homologous residues in the WW domain. Additional binding energy and specificity is provided by contacts outside these core regions, as seen most notably in the rNedd4 WW4-δENaC complex (Kanelis et al., 2001) (Nedd4 WW numbering, as in Henry et al. [2003]) and the dystrophin-δ-dystroglycan complex (Huang et al., 2000), adding another layer of complexity to recognition of specific sequences by individual WW domains. Interactions outside the core binding motif are essential for determining specificity and enhancing affinity.

Members of the Nedd4 family of ubiquitin ligases, which target membrane proteins for endocytosis (Rotin et al., 2000), have a common modular architecture comprised of an N-terminal C2 domain, two to four tandem WW domains, and a C-terminal catalytic Hect domain. The Nedd4 WW domains have been shown to interact with PY motif sequences in their substrate molecules, leading to ubiquitination of the target protein. While this common architecture and core WW domain binding specificity suggest redundant cellular roles for Nedd4 proteins, specific cellular functions have been ascribed to family members (reviewed in Ingam et al., 2004; Rotin et al., 2000). For example, Nedd4-2 (and human Nedd4-1) are involved in downregulation of the epithelial sodium channel (ENaC) (Abriel et al., 1999; Kamynina et al., 2001; Snyder et al., 2001; Staub et al., 1996), a channel that harbors PY motifs (Schild et al., 1996), which are deleted or mutated in Liddle’s syndrome, a hereditary form of hypertension (Lifton et al., 2001). Binding of ENaC PY motifs by the third and fourth WW domains of Nedd4-2 is necessary for channel downregulation (Kamynina et al., 2001). Specifically, the presence of a high-affinity binding WW domain, WW3*, in Nedd4-2 and some Nedd4-1 proteins is essential for this process (Henry et al., 2003), as Nedd4 proteins lacking WW3* weakly suppress channel activity. The ability to preferentially bind distinct PY motif ligands with differing affinities is one mechanism that underlies the different Nedd4 cellular specificities. Although there are several structures of WW domains, there are only a few structures of WW domain-ligand complexes, and none of the high-affinity WW3* domain. Therefore, additional structural studies are required to probe the mechanisms of sequence-specific recognition and, in particular, to understand how WW domain interactions contribute to the biological specificity of Nedd4.
Commissureless (Comm) is a target for Drosophila Nedd4 (dNedd4), where dNedd4 contains three WW domains (WW1, WW3*, and WW4) (Henry et al., 2003). Comm is a single-pass transmembrane protein (Tear et al., 1996) with two PY motifs (ESPPCYTIATGLPSY DEALH<sup>237</sup>, PY motifs in bold) spaced closely together in its cytoplasmic C terminus. Binding of dNedd4 WW domains to these PY motifs results in ubiquitination of Comm and subsequent internalization and sorting of the Comm/Roundabout (Robo) complex, promoting axon crossing at the CNS midline (Myat et al., 2002). Comm internalization is also important in ubiquitination, is responsible for removal of Comm from the muscle cell surface (Ing et al., submitted), a necessary step for motoneuron innervation (Wolf et al., 1998).

Here we report the solution structure of the high-affinity dNedd4 WW3* domain bound to the second PY motif in Comm, TGLPSYDEALH<sup>237</sup>, herein referred to as the LPSY peptide. Interestingly, interactions with the WW3* domain are observed for residues both N- and C-terminal to the PY motif, comprising residues T227-L236 of Comm. (Comm residues are denoted by a prime symbol, to distinguish them from dNedd4 WW3* domain residues.) Binding experiments with mutant peptides confirm the importance of the extensive interactions observed in the dNedd4-Comm complex. Furthermore, mutation of the β1/β2 loop in dNedd4 WW4 to conserved residues present in WW3* domains confers high-affinity binding to LPxY and PPxY peptides. These results highlight the importance of interactions outside the core binding region in WW domain-ligand recognition, and emphasize their importance in imparting specificity to ubiquitin-mediated regulation of Comm in developmental processes.

Results

Solution Structure of dNedd4 WW3* Domain-Comm LPSY Peptide Complex

Preliminary experiments in our laboratory indicated that the affinity of the dNedd4 WW3* domain for the Comm PY motifs is high in comparison with other WW domain-PY motif complexes (P. Henry and D. Rotin, unpublished data). In addition, our previous work showed that WW3* domains from hNedd4-1 and h/x/mNedd4-2 bound ENaC PY motifs with higher affinity than other Nedd4 WW domains (Henry et al., 2003). In order to determine the molecular basis for this high-affinity binding, we have solved the solution structure of a complex between the dNedd4 WW3* domain and a peptide derived from the LPSY region of Comm. An overlay of the 30 lowest energy structures is shown in Figure 1A, with structural statistics in Table 1. With the exception of a few residues at the N- and C termini, both the dNedd4 WW domain and Comm LPSY peptide are well defined, having a backbone rmsd of 0.48 ± 0.10 Å for residues L531-R561 in the WW domain and T227-L236 in the LPSY peptide. The dNedd4 WW3* domain structure is very similar to previously solved WW domain structures, including the rNedd4 WW4 domain in complex with the iENaC PY motif-containing peptide previ-

ously solved in our laboratory (Kanelis et al., 2001). The WW domain structure consists of a three-stranded anti-parallel β sheet (Figure 1B) that is stabilized by a hydrophobic cluster formed in part by the first conserved tryptophan (W535) and the invariant proline (P560). The peptide binding site is formed by hydrophobic and uncharged groups of residues located throughout the domain (Figures 1B and 2). Specifically, residues in the β1 (Q538), β2 (F546, I548), and β3 (T555) strands, in the β1/β2 (A540, P541, N542), β2/β3 (the uncharged H550 and the aliphatic portion of R553), and in the C-terminal loops (W557) bind the LPSY peptide (Figures 1B and 2A).

The bound peptide can be divided into three interacting regions, the LPSY core, C-terminal and N-terminal regions. The LPSY sequence of the ligand binds the WW domain as seen in other WW domain-PY motif complexes. Residues L229-S231 adopt a PPII helical conformation, seen for PPxY ligands bound to WW domains (Huang et al., 2000; Kanelis et al., 2001; Pires et al., 2001). L229 and P230 are involved in stacking interactions with the second conserved tryptophan, W557, and F546 of the XP groove. This type of interaction is generally seen in complexes of WW domains, SH3 domains, EVH1 domains and profilin (Zarrinpar and Lim, 2000). As for other WW domain-PY motif complexes (Huang et al., 2000; Kanelis et al., 2001; Pires et al., 2001), the Comm LPSY peptide binds the dNedd4 WW3* domain in the negative orientation, in which the invariant Pro stacks against the conserved F546 rather than the binding site Trp as seen for ligands in the positive orientation (Verdecia et al., 2000). The conserved PY motif tyrosine, Y232, interacts with I548, H550, and the aliphatic portion of R553, adopting an orientation seen in the other WW domain-peptide complexes. In addition to interactions of the T555 methyl group with PY motif and C-terminal residues (see below), the hydroxyl is oriented toward the carbonyl of P230 in a potential hydrogen bond. This intermolecular hydrogen bond is also observed in the Pin1-CTD and the YAP65 WW domain-PY motif complexes (Pires et al., 2001; Verdecia et al., 2000). Of note, most WW domains (Schultz et al., 1998), including those from Nedd4 proteins, contain a Thr or Ser at this position, indicative of the importance of this feature to binding.

Interactions of Non-PY Motif Residues with the WW3* Domain

Surprisingly, residues both N- and C-terminal to the PY motif interact with the WW3* domain. As was observed in the rNedd4 WW4 domain-iENaC complex (Kanelis et al., 2001), C-terminal residues adopt a helical turn conformation starting at the PY motif Tyr (since Nedd4 WW numbering is taken from Henry et al. [2003], the third WW domain in rNedd4 is defined as WW4; note that the rNedd4 WW4 domain is analogous to dNedd4 WW4, not dNedd4 WW3*). However, in the dNedd4 WW3* domain-Comm complex, this turn is extended by one residue comprising Y232-L236, where A235 in Comm is structurally analogous to L621 in iENaC (Figures 2 and 3B). Interactions are observed between A235 and the WW3* domain residues Q538, F546, I548, and T555. The side chain of L236 is oriented toward the binding site and interacts with I548 and H550 in dNedd4 WW3* (Figures 1B and 2A). Notably, strong NOEs are
observed between these residues and \(d\)-methyls of L236. The peptide derived from \(\beta\)ENaC used in the structure determination of the \(\alpha\)Nedd4 complex ended at L621. However, since the equivalent residue to Comm L236 in \(\beta\)ENaC is R622, it is unlikely that interactions would be formed with the analogous residues, I478 and the uncharged H480, in \(\alpha\)Nedd4. Furthermore, mutation of \(\beta\)ENaC R622 does not cause an increase in channel activity, in contrast to mutations of PY motif residues and L621 (Henry et al., 2003; Snyder et al., 1995).

Interactions are also seen between the \(\beta1/\beta2\) loop in the WW\(^3\) domain and residues N-terminal to the LPSY sequence. In contrast, analogous interactions were not observed in the \(\alpha\)Nedd4 WW4 domain-\(\beta\)ENaC complex (Figures 2 and 3A), even though the peptide used in that structure determination contained eight \(\beta\)ENaC residues N-terminal to the PY motif. In addition to A540, which forms the back of the XP groove, P541 and N542 in the dNedd4 WW\(^3\) domain bind residues T227'–P230' in the LPSY peptide. Although the side chain of T227' in the ensemble of structures is not as well defined as those of the PY motif residues in the ligand, NOEs are observed between the side chains of T227' and W557. The side chain of R554 in the \(\beta1/\beta2\) loop is oriented toward T227', and may provide additional interactions. However, due to spectral overlap, NOEs between R554 and T227' could not be unambiguously assigned. The side chains of A540, N542, R554, and W557 form a groove in which the backbone of T227' and G228' bind (Figure 2A). These structural features support the evidence from binding data (see below) that the N terminus makes important contributions to the dNedd4 WW\(^3\) domain-Comm LPSY interaction.

The dNedd4 WW\(^3\) domain-Comm LPSY structure is the only reported case of a WW domain complex in which ligand residues both N- and C-terminal to the PY motif bind the WW domain. This comprises a more extensive interaction surface than that seen for other isolated WW domain complexes, such as the three YAP65 WW domain-peptide complexes that employ only the PY motif for binding (Pires et al., 2001). A surface area of 895 ± 40 Å\(^2\) is buried upon complex formation in the dNedd4 WW\(^3\) complex, compared with ~620–730 Å\(^2\) in other complexes.
Contributions of LPSY Peptide Residues to Binding Affinity

The affinity of the dNedd4 WW3* domain for the LPSY peptide is higher than observed for other WW domain-PY motif complexes, having a K_d value of peptide is higher than observed for other WW domain-

We investigated the contributions of the C-terminal L236' and H237' to binding. The H237'A mutation had little effect on binding affinity, in agreement with our solution structure, in which H237' makes limited interactions with the dNedd4 WW3* domain. Mutation of L236' resulted in a 2- to 3-fold decrease in binding. Although interactions are observed between I548 and H540 in the WW domain and the i'-methyls of L236', the Ala mutant likely makes similar contacts, thus partly compensating for removal of L236'. Residues D233' and E234' were not altered. These residues do not interact with the WW3* domain. Therefore, mutation of these residues likely would not alter binding, as was demonstrated for the analogous αENaC residues, D619' and S620', which adopt a similar bound conformation and do not interact with the nNedd4 WW4 domain (Henry et al., 2003; Kanelis et al., 2001). Mutation of the N-terminal T227' results in a 4-fold decrease in binding, indicating the importance of N-terminal interactions to the dNedd4 WW3* domain-Comm LPSY peptide association. From our structure, interactions are formed between the methyl of Thr 227' to W557. Since the backbone of residues T227'–G228' is nestled in the groove that extends from the XP pocket, it is unlikely that conformational changes in the peptide occur in order to promote analogous interactions of the shorter Ala side chain in the mutant peptide with the WW domain. As expected, mutations of invariant PY motif residues, P230' and Y232', to Ala disrupt binding to the dNedd4 WW3* domain. In summary, mutation of any one of the interacting residues outside the core PY motif results in a moderate, yet significant, decrease in affinity. Removal of all N- and C-terminal residues in the Ac-LPSY-NH2 peptide or their replacement by Ala

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Structural Statistics for the 30 Final Structures of the dNedd4 WW3* Domain-Comm LPSY Peptide Complex</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rmsds from distance restraints (Å)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All (2370)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unambiguously (1741)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambiguously (697)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Atomic Rmsd (Å) from backbone

WW3 (531–661), LPSY (227–236)

WWW (531–661) | 0.002 ± 0.00007 | 0.31 ± 0.09 | 0.69 ± 0.15 |

LPSY (227–236) | 0.17 ± 0.06 | 0.62 ± 0.23 | 0.96 ± 0.26 |

of the more canonical Pro in the first position of the PY motif. Mutation of L229' to Pro, thereby creating a canonical PY motif, results in a ~2-fold reduction in binding affinity. Having a Leu instead of a Pro is expected to decrease the amount of PPII helix of the unbound LPSY peptide, and hence its affinity for the WW domain. However, the greater enthalpic contributions from the larger Leu residue compensate for the loss of PPII helical conformation. Studies of SH3 domain binding indicate that other hydrophobic residues, such as Leu and Val, are tolerated at this position, and in some cases are preferred, since they can achieve as good or better packing than a Pro (Lim et al., 1994; Yu et al., 1994).

Figure 2. Comparison of the dNedd4 WW3* Domain-LPSY Peptide and nNedd4 WW4 Domain-αENaC Complexes

Molecular surfaces of the (A) dNedd4 WW3* domain and (B) nNedd4 WW4 domain (PDB code 115H) are shown with blue and red, representing positive and negative electrostatic potential, respectively. The Cα traces of the bound ligands are shown in green and the side chains of residues involved in binding in yellow.
decreases binding by ~50- to 100-fold, illustrating the importance of specific residues outside the PY motif core to the high-affinity dNedd4 WW3* domain-Comm LPSY interaction.

Binding experiments performed between a peptide with two additional residues on each of the N and C termini, 225\textsuperscript{0} IATGLPSYDEALHHQ238\textsuperscript{0}, and the WW3* domain gave K\textsubscript{d} values identical to that of the shorter peptide, indicating that we have not missed key interactions by truncating the peptide. We also tested binding of the dNedd4 WW3* domain to a peptide derived from the first PY motif in Comm, 218\textsuperscript{0} ESPPCYTIAT227\textsuperscript{0}. Surprisingly, binding was not observed between the dNedd4 WW3* domain and this peptide, even though it has a canonical PY motif. Binding experiments done previously in higher ionic strength (150 mM NaCl versus 20 mM used here) also showed no binding (P. Henry and D. Rotin, unpublished data).

**Table 2. Dissociation Constants for dNedd4 WW Domain-Comm Peptide Interactions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peptide Sequence\textsuperscript{a}</th>
<th>WW3* (K_{d}) (mM)</th>
<th>WW4 (K_{d}) (mM)</th>
<th>H591A/T592P/D593N WW4 (K_{d}) (mM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>227\textsuperscript{0} TGLPSYDEALHHQ\textsuperscript{236}</td>
<td>3.1 ± 0.5 (3)</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.8 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔGLPSYDEALHHQ</td>
<td>12.0 ± 3.3 (4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGPSYDEALHHQ</td>
<td>6.7 ± 0.9 (4)</td>
<td></td>
<td>22.2 ± 2.3 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGLPSYDEALHHQ</td>
<td>8.0 ± 3.5 (3)</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.9 ± 1.0 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGLPSYDEALHHQ</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.4 (3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGAPSYDEALHHQ</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGPS4ΔDEALHHQ</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(\text{Ac-LPSY-NH}_{2}\) 158.8 ± 34.9 (3)

218\textsuperscript{0} ESPCPPYTIAT\textsuperscript{227} 210.5 ± 48.2 (4)

\(\text{Ac-LPSY-NH}_{2}\) 158.8 ± 34.9 (3)

218\textsuperscript{0} ESPCPPYTIAT\textsuperscript{227} 210.5 ± 48.2 (4)

The equilibrium dissociation constants (\(K_{d}, \text{mM}\)) were determined using intrinsic tryptophan fluorescence and are reported as averages ± standard deviations. The number in parentheses indicates number of experiments performed for each binding analysis. NB, no observable binding.

\*Underlined residues denote mutations.

**Contributions of dNedd4 WW3* Domain Residues to Binding Affinity**

To further probe the interactions responsible for high-affinity binding, mutations were made in the dNedd4 WW3* domain, and binding to the LPSY peptide was measured. Previous experiments had been done to examine the role of WW domain residues to binding of the core PY motif. Mutation of the binding site Trp to Ala abrogates binding (Chen et al., 1997) due to obliteration of...
the XP groove. Changing the aliphatic residue in the Tyr binding pocket (Ile 548 in dNedd4) of the YAP65 WW domain to an aromatic changes its specificity from PY motifs to PxxP ligands (Espanel and Sudol, 1999; Kato et al., 2004), and increasing the number of Arg and Lys residues results in recognition of a PY motif with a phosphorylated Tyr (Toepert et al., 2003). However, little has been done to investigate contributions of WW domain residues that bind sequences outside the core PY motif. Our focus in this study was the contribution of the β1/β2 loop, due to its importance in binding the LPSY peptide.

The dNedd4 WW3* domain complex structure illustrated the involvement of the β1/β2 loop residues, P541 and N542, in binding the LPSY peptide. The corresponding residues in the rNedd4 WW4 domain (T471 and D472), which are conserved in dNedd4 WW4, are not involved in βENaC binding. This result, along with the observation that the sequence APN is conserved in all high-affinity binding Nedd4 WW3* domains, highlighted this sequence as being necessary for a strong interaction with ligand. To test this hypothesis, we created a chimera between the dNedd4 WW3* and WW4 domains by replacing the sequence HTD in WW4 with APN. The wild-type and mutant WW4 domains were tested for binding to the wild-type LPSY peptide and L229P mutant, which has a canonical PY motif, and compared to that seen for the WW3* domain (Figure 4). The K_d for the interaction between the WW4 domain mutant and the L229P peptide is 6 μM—a ~3- to 4-fold increase in affinity over the wild-type WW4 domain and identical to the WW3* domain (Figure 4B). This gain of function is also observed for binding of the wild-type LPSY peptide to the WW4 domain mutant, which has a K_d value of ~3 μM, identical to what is observed for binding to the WW3* domain (Figure 4A). Previously, we observed that mutations of A540 and P541 residues in WW3* to the corresponding His and Thr in WW4 decreased binding by ~4-fold, but that the reverse mutations did not restore high-affinity binding of the Nedd4 WW4 domain (Henry et al., 2003). Therefore the sequence of all three residues of the β1/β2 loop, A540, P541, and N542, is the necessary and sufficient determinant in Nedd4 WW3* domains for high-affinity binding.

Surprisingly, the wild-type dNedd4 WW4 domain binds the wild-type LSPY peptide very weakly, as saturation was not achieved even at peptide concentrations of 0.5 mM for 1 μM protein. The WW4 domain does bind the L229P mutant, with a K_d value of ~22 μM, which is similar to what we observed for the rNedd4 WW4 domain binding to βENaC (PPNYDSL) (Kanelis et al., 2001), indicating that the isolated dNedd4 WW4 domain is properly folded. We predict that the discrimination for the smaller Pro instead of the Leu in the variable binding position of the XP groove is due to the bulky His in the β1/β2 loop of the WW4 domain. This residue forms the back of the XP groove and results in structural differences in ligand side chains in the binding site (Macias et al., 2002, and see below).

Discussion

Our solution structure of the dNedd4 WW3* domain-Comm LPSY peptide complex and binding experiments
provide evidence for the importance of residues outside the core L/PPxY binding motif for affinity and specificity in WW domain interactions. This is the only WW domain-ligand complex solved to date where peptide residues both N- and C-terminal to the core PY motif contact the domain.

Comparison with the rNedd4 WW4 Domain-ßENaC Complex

Although the structures of the dNedd4 WW3* domain-Comm LPSY and rNedd4 WW4-ßENaC PPXY complexes are similar, observed differences provide structural insights into the mechanism of high-affinity binding of WW3* domains (Figure 3A and Figure S1 in the Supplemental Data). Of note, the sequence identity between the WW4 domains from rNedd4 and dNedd4 is 81%, with all binding site residues identical (Henry et al., 2003). Therefore, structural differences observed between the dNedd4 WW3*-Comm LPSY and rNedd4 WW4-ßENaC complexes are not due to a difference in species, but rather the WW domains (therefore, these WW domains are referred to as WW3* and WW4, respectively). The bulky His in WW4 sterically inhibits binding of L229’, while the smaller Ala in WW3* creates a groove that accommodates the LPSY peptide. Furthermore, P541 and N542 in the [ß1/ß2] loop of WW3* provide favorable groups for binding the hydrophobic LPSY peptide compared with a Thr and negatively charged Asp, respectively, in WW4. In the rNedd4 WW domain, the side chains of T471 and D472 are oriented away from the binding site and do not contact ßENaC. Due to the N-alkyl substitution of proline residues, P541 in dNedd4 WW3* is restricted in its conformation and is not able to adopt orientations seen for the side chain of T471 in rNedd4 WW4. Therefore, in addition to providing increased interactions with ligand, P541 may also restrict the conformations of the [ß1/ß2] loop in the free WW3* domain, resulting in a smaller entropic cost upon binding, which ultimately leads to a higher affinity interaction. The combination of the smaller Ala and favorable residues in the [ß1/ß2] loop for ligand binding results in a displacement of residues N542–T545 toward the N terminus of the ligand in the WW3* domain complex relative to the WW4 domain complex. Therefore, as seen for SH3 domains, variable loops in WW domains are necessary to achieve specificity and enhance affinity. While residues in the [ß2/ß3] loop are involved in ligand binding in all WW domain-PY motif complexes, the involvement of the [ß1/ß2] loop is not always observed. Not only is the [ß1/ß2] loop involved in binding N-terminal residues in the LPSY peptide, the naturally occurring APN sequence imparts high-affinity binding to Nedd4 WW3* domains.

There are also differences in side chain orientations of XP groove binding residues between the two complexes. The invariant Pro residues in Comm (P230’) and ßENaC (P616’) make stacking interactions with a Phe in their respective WW domains. However, due to the bulky His at the back of the XP groove in WW4, the backbone of P615’ and P616’ in ßENaC is shifted away from the WW domain compared with that of L229’ and P230’ in the WW3* domain-LPSY complex. This difference in conformation propagates so that the side chain of P615’ in ßENaC is buried deeper between the Phe and Trp aromatic rings in WW4 than the corresponding Comm L229’ bound to WW3*. The larger Leu in the LPSY peptide can not be accommodated in the XP groove of the WW4 domain in the orientation adopted by P615’ in ßENaC, explaining the observation that the dNedd4 WW4 domain doesn’t bind the LPSY peptide (Table 1). A comparison of the two complexes also reveals a displacement of the backbone of the C-terminal helical turn of the bound ligand, so that the methyl groups of A235’ in the LPSY peptide and L621’ in ßENaC are in identical positions and make conserved interactions with the respective WW domain. This flexibility implies that other methyl-containing residues could be accommodated C-terminal to the PY motif, and suggests the possibility that this is a conserved interaction in Nedd4 WW domain-ligand complexes, particularly given the sequence of Nedd4 ligands. For example, the sequence PPxYxxL is conserved in all ßENaC subunits across all species, and the first PY motif of Comm also has methyl-containing residues two and three amino acids C-terminal to the Tyr. It is likely that the sequence PPxYxxL (where x is a methyl-containing residue) is found in other Nedd4 targets.

Comparison with Other WW Domain Complexes

It is also interesting to compare the dNedd4 WW3* domain-Comm LPSY complex to other solved WW domain complexes, the dystrophin-ß-dystroglycan complex (Huang et al., 2000), the YAP65 WW domain bound to LPPY and PPPY peptides (Pires et al., 2001), and the Pin1-RNA Pol II pS-P complex (Verdecia et al., 2000). Differences are observed between the dNedd4 and YAP65 complexes in the side chain positions of the PY motif residues that bind the XP groove. While L229’ in Comm stacks against W557 in the dNedd4 WW3* domain, the backbone and side chains and of the corresponding Leu in the Ac-PLPPY-NH2 peptide and Pro in the GTPPPPYTVG and N-(n-octyl)GPPPY peptides are oriented outward from the binding site Trp in the YAP65 WW domain complexes (Figure 3B). This difference may be the reason that the YAP65 WW domain binds a canonical PY motif with higher affinity than the LPxY variant (Pires et al., 2001), opposite to what is observed for the dNedd4 WW3* domain.

Interactions with residues N-terminal to the PY motif are also present in the dystrophin-ß-dystroglycan complex. These interactions are mediated by the [ß1/ß2] loop of the WW domain and residues in the EF hand domain, which is essential, as the WW domain alone is unable to bind ß-dystroglycan (Huang et al., 2000). Although the PY motif residues in the dNedd4 and dystrophin complexes can be superimposed quite well, the ligand N termini and [ß1/ß2] loops are displaced between the two complexes (Figure 3C). This is caused by different side chain orientations of T227’ in Comm compared to the residue at the equivalent position, R887, in ß-dystroglycan. While the side chain of Comm T227’ is oriented toward the binding site Trp in dNedd4, that of R887 in ß-dystroglycan interacts with N3068 (N542 in dNedd4) in the [ß1/ß2] loop of the dystrophin WW domain. C-terminal interactions are not observed in the dystrophin-ß-dystroglycan complex, even though the peptide used in the structure determination has three residues C-terminal to the PY motif.
The importance of the \([\beta1/\beta2]\) loop in ligand binding has also been demonstrated for the group IV WW domain in Pin1, which interacts with pS/T-P-containing ligands (Verdecia et al., 2000). The ligand adopts an extended conformation in the “positive” orientation bound to the Pin1 WW domain, with RNA Pol II residues P175 and pS174 structurally analogous to L229 and P230 in Comm, respectively (Figure 3D). The analogous residue to A540 in dNedd4 is R17 in Pin1. Structural and mutagenesis data indicate that a positive charge at this position is necessary for high-affinity binding to a pS/T-P ligand (Kato et al., 2002; Verdecia et al., 2000). In addition, S16 in Pin1 in place of P541 in dNedd4 makes an additional hydrogen bond to the peptide phosphate and hence increases the affinity of the Pin1 WW domain for the pS-P peptide of RNA Pol II. Having hydrophobic residues in the \([\beta1/\beta2]\) loop of dNedd4 WW3* domain is expected to preclude its interaction with a pS/T-P ligand.

A comparison of the dNedd4 WW3* domain-Comm LPSY peptide complex with other WW domain-PY motif complexes identified structural differences in the ligand as a consequence of WW domain residues that impact affinity and specificity. These differences can occur in core residues, as well as those outside the core binding motif. Further, in contrast to group IV WW domain complexes, residues in the \([\beta1/\beta2]\) loop are not always involved in ligand binding in group I complexes. Together, these differences serve to fine-tune affinities for regulation of complex cellular processes.

**Differential Binding of dNedd4 WW3* and WW4 Domains**

Although the dNedd4 WW3* and WW4 domains are very similar, having a sequence identity of ~52% and 5 of 8 binding site residues identical, they have very different binding properties. Our in vitro binding experiments indicate that the affinity of the LPSY sequence in Comm for dNedd4 WW3* is much higher than for the WW4 domain. Surprisingly, the dNedd4 WW3* domain does not interact with the ESPPCYTIAAT peptide, even though it is a canonical PY motif. However, our preliminary binding experiments indicate that dNedd4 WW4 interacts weakly with the ESPPCYTIAAT peptide (data not shown). These results suggest a discriminatory aspect to Comm PY motif binding by the dNedd4 WW domains. WW1 is very similar in sequence to other Nedd4 WW1 domains, which we and others have shown previously to have very low affinity for PY motifs (Henry et al., 2003; Kanelis et al., 2001). The dNedd4 WW1 domain contains an Asp in place of A540 in the WW3* domain, and thus is not expected to make major contributions to binding of Comm by dNedd4. Furthermore, dNedd4 fragments pulled out in a yeast-2-hybrid screen, using the intracellular region of Comm as bait, contained only WW3* and WW4 (Myat et al., 2002). WW3* and WW4 are tandem WW domains in dNedd4. Therefore, in vivo it is likely that only WW3* binds the LPSY ligand, whereas WW4 may bind the PPCY ligand. Simultaneous binding of the Comm ligands by the dNedd4 WW3* and WW4 domains is unlikely, however, since the two PY motifs are very close to each other (\[^{2}H_{15}\text{ESPPCYTIAATGLPSY DEALH}\])37). Methyl-containing residues are found at the C terminus of each PY motif. In a manner similar to the \[^{\text{2}}\text{H}]\text{ENaC PY peptide and the Comm LPSY peptide, residues C-}

**Experimental Procedures**

**NMR Sample Preparation and NMR Spectroscopy**

The second WW domain from dNedd4 (WW3*, residues 526–566) was expressed as a GST fusion at 25°C in Escherichia coli BL21(DE3) cells grown in minimal media with \(^{15}\text{N-NH}_{4}\)Cl and \(^{13}\text{C-glucose as the sole nitrogen and carbon sources, respectively. The GST-WW3\* protein was purified using glutathione sepharose affinity chromatography. Following digestion with PreScission protease (Amersham Biosciences), the WW domain was purified to homogeneity using a Superdex 75 column (Amersham Biosciences), as confirmed by mass spectrometry. Peptides derived from Comm were prepared synthetically using standard F-moc solid-phase chemistry and purified on a C18 reverse-phase HPLC column (Phenomenex).**

NMR experiments were carried out at 15°C on a Varian Inova 500 MHz spectrometer equipped with pulse field gradients and a triple resonance probe with actively shielded z-gradients. Data were processed and analyzed using the nmrPipe/nmrDraw (Delaglio et al., 1995) and nmrView (Johnson and Blevins, 1994) software packages. Double-filtered COSY in D$_{2}$O, TOCSY, and NOESY (140 ms) spectra (Ikura and Bax, 1992) were recorded to obtain backbone and side chain assignments for the bound LPSY peptide using a sample
that contained 1.4 mM uniformly $^{15}$N/$^{13}$C-labeled dNedd4 WW3 domain with 1.0 mM Comm LPSY peptide. Using equimolar amounts of the dNedd4 WW3 domain and LPSY peptide resulted in poor quality double-filtered TOCSY and NOE spectra, likely due to broadening from exchange of bound and free LPSY peptide. Intramolecular distance restraints for the bound LPSY peptide were obtained from the double-filtered NOE experiments. Backbone and aliphatic side chain assignments for WW3* were obtained from standard triple resonance experiments (Kay, 1995; Sattler et al., 1999) recorded on a sample that contained equimolar amounts (1.1 mM) of $^{15}$N/$^{13}$C-labeled dNedd4 WW3* domain and Comm LPSY peptide. Assignments for aromatic resonances were obtained from (H)C(C=C)(C=H), (H)C(C=C)(C=H) (Yamazaki et al., 1993), HMBC (Pelton et al., 1993), and a $^{13}$C/ $^{15}$N-edited NOE-HSQC (Pascal et al., 1994) spectrum with a mixing time of 175 ms, from which intramolecular distance restraints for the dNedd4 WW3* domain were obtained. Intramolecular distance restraints were obtained from the $^{13}$C/ $^{15}$N-edited NOE-HSQC spectrum and half-filtered NOESY (250 ms) (Zwahlen et al., 1997) spectrum recorded in 100% D$_2$O.

Structure Calculations

Preliminary structures were calculated using a torsion angle dynamics protocol within CNS 1.1 (Bruger, et al., 1998). Distance restraints were assigned manually from NOE experiments. Initially, only intramolecular dNedd4 WW3* domain NOEs having symmetry-related peaks were assigned. Only intermolecular NOEs to Comm LPSY protons with unique chemical shifts (Y232 H', Hc', and L229 H') that could be unambiguously assigned, were in the first iteration. These were augmented with intermolecular NOEs obtained from the half-filtered NOESY experiment. Backbone dihedral angle restraints for the residues of the WW domain in (i) strands were obtained using TALOS (Cornilescu et al., 1999). Converged structures generated without violations of NOEs >0.2 Å and dihedral angle restraints >5° were used as input into ARIA 1.0 (Nilges and O'Donoghue, 1998) for automated assignment of all NOEs. The tolerance for automatic assignment was 0.05 ppm and 0.3 ppm in the $^1$H and heteronuclear dimensions, respectively. Since stereospecific assignments were not made, a floating chirality approach was used for methylene and isopropyl groups and the H$_c$ protons of Phe and Tyr (Folmer et al., 1997). Seven iterations were performed, reducing the cut-off for ambiguous assignments from 0.999 to 0.80, and the violation tolerance from 0.25 A to 0.00 A. Thirty structures were calculated in the first six iterations, from which the best seven, as assessed by total energy, were used for the interactive NOE assignment in the next iteration. In the final iteration, 200 structures were calculated, from which the lowest 30 energy structures were used for analysis.

In addition, a second set of ARIA calculations was performed where the input structures consisted of the WW domain folded and the peptide tethered using the unambiguous intermolecular NOE to LPSY Y232 H', Hc', and L229 H' protons. The final structures from this set of calculations, where the peptide structure was not defined in the input structures, are identical to those calculated as described above (data not shown).

Binding Studies

Histidine-tagged fusion proteins of the dNedd4 WW3* (527–571) and WW4 (577–612) domains, as well as a WW4 mutant (H591A/T592P/ D593N) were expressed at 25°C in E. coli BL21(DE3) and purified using a Ni$^{2+}$ affinity column, followed by a Superdex 75 column. Following digestion with TEV protease, the His-tag and protease were removed using a second Ni$^{2+}$ column. Purity and mass of the proteins were confirmed using mass spectrometry.

$K_d$ values for dNedd4 WW domain–Comm PY motif complexes were determined using intrinsic tryptophan fluorescence. Peptide titration experiments were performed on an AVIV ATS105 fluorometer equipped with an automatic titrator, at 20°C, with excitation and emission wavelengths of 298 and 330 nm and slit widths of 2 and 4 nm, respectively. The excitation wavelength of 298 nm was chosen to avoid exciting the tyrosine residue in the peptide and the emission wavelength of 330 nm corresponds to the wavelength where fluorescence difference of free and peptide-complexed WW domains is at a maximum. Affinities were measured in 10 mM Na$^+$ phosphate, pH 7, 20 mM NaCl, with WW domain concentrations of 1 M. Wild-type and mutant peptides derived from the two PY motif-containing regions of Comm (ESPPCYTIA227, 227 TGLPSYDEALH237, and 237 ATGLPSYDEALHQ245) were added at concentrations of 0.1–0.5 mM to 2 mM, depending on the $K_d$ value. Titration data were fit assuming a 1:1 complex (Viguela et al., 1994).

Supplemental Data

Supplemental data are available online at http://www.structure.org/cgi/content/full/14/3/543/DC1/.
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